

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 337348021US 4196 09/978,134 10/15/2001 Bradford Evan Gliner EXAMINER 25096 7590 03/15/2004 PERKINS COIE LLP BRADFORD, RODERICK D **PATENT-SEA** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247 3762 10 DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ΛΛ Λ
<b>→</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	30
Office Action Summary	09/978,134	GLINER ET AL.	,
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roderick Bradford	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communic  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
<ul> <li>1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 €</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition o</li></ul>	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal mat	• •	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-49 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage	е
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No.	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 3762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-14, drawn to a neuro-stimulation system, classified in class 607, subclass 45.
  - II. Claims 15-31, drawn to a method of automatically determining a favorable neuro-stimulation program for a patient, classified in class 607, subclass 45.
  - III. Claims 32-40, drawn to a method of automatically determining a favorable neuro-stimulation program for a patient, classified in class 607, subclass 59.
  - IV. Claim 41, drawn to a method of automatically determining a favorable neuro-stimulation program for a patient, classified in class 607, subclass 46.
  - V. Claim 42, drawn to a method of automatically determining a favorable neuro-stimulation program for a patient, classified in class 607, subclass 66.
  - VI. Claims 43-49, drawn to a method of automatically determining a favorable neuro-stimulation program for a patient, classified in class 607, subclass2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 3762

- 2. Inventions II-VI (process) and I (apparatus) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as requiring only analog circuitry.
- 3. Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require choosing a configuration of therapy electrodes and/or an electrical stimulus corresponding to a sensed response. The subcombination has separate utility such as not requiring storing the effective therapy electrode configuration and/or electrical pulse in a memory of the controller, but rather immediately applying the effective therapy.
- 4. Inventions IV and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require

Art Unit: 3762

determining whether the response is within a desired range or an improvement over a previous sensed response from a different electrical stimulus and/or a different configuration of therapy electrodes. The subcombination has separate utility such as not requiring selecting a setup configuration of therapy electrodes and a control stimulus of electrical parameters, but rather having a preselected configuration of therapy electrodes.

- 5. Inventions V and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require selecting an alternate configuration of therapy electrodes and/or an alternate electrical stimulus. The subcombination has separate utility such as not requiring reapplying the adjusted stimulus parameters to the setup configuration of therapy electrodes and sensing a response signal using the sensing device, but rather using different stimulus parameters.
- 6. Inventions II and VI are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the

Art Unit: 3762

particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require applying a sub-threshold electrical stimulus to the configuration of therapy electrodes, the sub-threshold electrical stimulus having a current intensity less than the current intensity of the threshold electrical stimulus. The subcombination has separate utility such as not requiring selecting an alternate configuration of therapy electrodes and/or an alternate electrical stimulus, but rather using the same configuration.

- 7. Inventions IV and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require sending a command signal from a controller to a pulse system operatively coupled to the controller. The subcombination has separate utility such as not requiring selecting a setup configuration of therapy electrodes and a control stimulus of electrical parameters, but rather having a preselected configuration of therapy electrodes.
- 8. Inventions V and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require

Art Unit: 3762

sending a command signal from a controller to a pulse system operatively coupled to the controller. The subcombination has separate utility such as not requiring reapplying the adjusted stimulus parameters to the setup configuration of therapy electrodes and sensing a response signal using the sensing device, but rather using different stimulus parameters.

- 9. Inventions III and VI are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require applying a sub-threshold electrical stimulus to the configuration of therapy electrodes, the sub-threshold electrical stimulus having a current intensity less than the current intensity of the threshold electrical stimulus. The subcombination has separate utility such as not requiring storing the effective therapy electrode configuration and/or electrical pulse in a memory of the controller, but rather immediately applying the effective therapy.
- 10. Inventions IV and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the

Art Unit: 3762

particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require applying an electrical stimulus having a plurality of stimulus parameters to a control configuration of therapy electrodes. The subcombination has separate utility such as not requiring selecting a setup configuration of therapy electrodes and a control stimulus of electrical parameters, but rather having a preselected configuration of therapy electrodes.

- 11. Inventions IV and VI are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require applying a sub-threshold electrical stimulus to the configuration of therapy electrodes, the sub-threshold electrical stimulus having a current intensity less than the current intensity of the threshold electrical stimulus. The subcombination has separate utility such as not requiring selecting a setup configuration of therapy electrodes and a control stimulus of electrical parameters, but rather having a preselected configuration of therapy electrodes.
- 12. Inventions V and VI are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Art Unit: 3762

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require applying a sub-threshold electrical stimulus to the configuration of therapy electrodes, the sub-threshold electrical stimulus having a current intensity less than the current intensity of the threshold electrical stimulus. The subcombination has separate utility such as not requiring reapplying the adjusted stimulus parameters to the setup configuration of therapy electrodes and sensing a response signal using the sensing device, but rather using different stimulus parameters.

- 13. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 14. A telephone call was made to Paul Parker on March 11, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

15. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3762

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roderick Bradford whose telephone number is (703) 305-3287. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7 a.m. - 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Der Stock

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

angeli D. Syly